

A Comprehensive Review of Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, And Treatment of Dermatophytosis

AUTHORS

Mohammed Askar A^{1*}, Jency C¹, Jeya Priya S¹, Michel Shyla¹, Santhanakumar M².

¹ Doctor of Pharmacy, Arulmigu Kalasalingam College of Pharmacy, Krishnankoil, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India - 626126.

² Department of Pharmacology, Arulmigu Kalasalingam College of Pharmacy, Krishnankoil, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India – 626126.

Submitted: 01-10-2023

Accepted: 10-10-2023

ABSTRACT:

Dermatophytosis is a serious skin infection that occurs in the hands, feet, nails, and face and also occurs on the whole body. There are two different types of genera *Trichophyton* and *Microsporum*. The cause of dermatophytosis is due to different socio-economic status. The accurate diagnosis of dermatophytosis using several diagnostic techniques. Conventional methods were used for the diagnosis of dermatophytosis. Direct microscopy examinations were performed by KOH solution wet-mount preparations of skin scrapings from the active border of the lesion can be used to confirm the diagnosis. To prevent the spread of dermatophytosis, patients should maintain good hygiene, and wear washed clothes. Take a bath twice a day and maintain a distance from the other spouse. Patients were urged to wear loose clothes which prevent sweating and itching. To treat dermatophytosis clinically several medications like topical antifungals, systemic agents like griseofulvin, ketoconazole and azole, itraconazole, fluconazole, and terbinafine.

I. INTRODUCTION:

Ringworm, also known as fungus corporis, is a shallow dermatophyte disease that affects the skin, with the exception of the hands, feet, scalp, unshaven areas, face, crotch, and nails (onychomycosis or fungus unguium), which are all susceptible to fungus manuum, athlete's foot, and mouth fungus.[1,2] Depending on whether their major source is a person, an animal, or dirt, dermatophytes are classified as either anthropophilic, zoophilic, or geophilic.[1,3,4] Both the mildness and severity of an infection depend on a number of variables, including the host's response to the fungus' metabolic products, the virulence of

the infecting species or specific strain, the infection's anatomical site, and local environmental conditions.[5] A fungal infection of the scalp, eyelashes, and eyebrows known as tinea capitis, sometimes known as ringworm of the scalp, is most frequently brought on by either of the dermatophytes from the two genera *Trichophyton* and *Microsporum*. *Trichophyton tonsurans* (*T. tonsurans*) and *Microsporum canis* (*M. canis*) are the principal culprits.[6-15] Depending on the degree and kind of the infection, these disorders can be successfully treated with oral or topically applied antifungal medications.[16] Since its introduction in the late 1950s, the oral antifungal medication griseofulvin has been the standard treatment for fungi infections.[17] The antifungal drugs fluconazole and itraconazole, as well as the allylamine terbinafine, have a wide range of antifungal action against yeast, non-dermatophytes, and dermatophytes. This article will discuss the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment strategies of dermatophytosis.[16]

PATHOGENESIS OF DERMATOPHYTES:

The capacity of dermatophytes to synthesize different proteins or enzymes is crucial for their invasion of keratinized skin layers.[18,19] Keratinases, adhesins, lipases, phosphatases, DNases, and non-specific proteases are significant enzymes that help dermatophytes carry out a variety of pathogenic activities, such as attaching to and penetrating the stratum corneum of the skin, subverting the host immune system, and scavenging nutrients.[19] When a human's skin surface comes into touch with live fungal arthrospores or hyphae, dermatophytosis frequently results. Under the right circumstances, these fungi are then encouraged to adhere to the human's skin

and germinate to create infection.[20] Dermatophytosis often develops on human skin after an incubation period of one to two weeks.[21] The best conditions for the growth of infections are humidity and a warm environment.[22] However, a number of factors, including close quarters, wearing occlusive clothing, growing urbanization, low socioeconomic level, contact with animals, and poor hygiene, can raise the risk of dermatophytosis infection.[23] Asexually reproducing conidia or arthrospores are the culprits behind dermatophyte infections. These infections can be made worse by the host's immune suppression, maceration of the skin, and damaged skin.[24] Typically, cutaneous infections are limited to the top, dead, cornified layers of skin. In healthy immunocompetent hosts, the host defense systems, such as the activation of serum inhibitory factor, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and complements, prevent the fungus from penetrating deeper tissues.[25] Increased epidermal cell proliferation in response to the fungus infection causes the active border to scale.[26] Scaling is caused by an increase in epidermal turnover after inflammation. The fungus spreads centrifugally from the scalp's inoculation point along the stratum corneum plane. Additionally, the fungus can descend from the stratum corneum and reach the hair follicle and the hair.[27] It might enter the hair shaft through the outer sheath of the hair follicle.[6] It is typical to see air holes within the hair shafts. Hairs with an infection become brittle and are simple to break off. Anthropophilic dermatophytes typically cause less severe inflammation than zoophilic dermatophytes. [28,29]

DIAGNOSIS:

One of the most prevalent infectious disorders that affect people, dermatophytosis can be chronic in nature and, if untreated, can result in significant irreparable damage to the skin, hair, and nails.[30] Most often, Sabouraud's dextrose agar or potato dextrose agar combined with antibiotics and cycloheximide is used for the isolation of fungi from clinical specimens.[31] The traditional methods utilized for the laboratory diagnosis of dermatophytosis included the direct microscopic inspection of clinical samples and the recovery of the causal agent in culture.[32] The molecular diagnostic approaches give a substantially quicker diagnosis of dermatophytosis and, in most cases, direct species identification for a focused treatment to minimize needless antifungal medication and

toxicity, as well as for epidemiological objectives.[33-36] Microscopic analysis of potassium hydroxide (KOH) wet-mount preparations of skin scrapings from the active border of the lesion can be used to confirm the diagnosis if necessary.[37] The test is conducted by adding a drop of 10–20% KOH to the scrapings on a microscopic slide. To hasten the death of the squamous cells, the material is gently heated.[38]

DNA EXTRACTION METHODS:

Numerous other techniques have been used in the recent literature, including manual homogenization of the clinical material, bead beating, freeze/thaw processing, and the age-old yet hazardous phenol-chloroform extraction.[39-43] Most frequently, fungal DNA extraction from skin, hair, and nails was done after the keratin structure had been disturbed. In other protocols, skin scales, hair, and nails were mechanically disrupted or pre-cut into little pieces.[44-53] It is also possible to effectively disrupt skin, hair, and nail samples non-enzymatically at room temperature for 1 hour or overnight using a Na2S dissolving solution.[54-56] There is a paucity of comparisons of the effectiveness of the many procedures mentioned in the literature for DNA extraction from dermatological samples. The amount of time needed for each regimen, however, varies significantly. For regular usage, this is a constraint that must be taken into account.[57]

CLASSICAL PCR STRATEGIES:

The main benefits of traditional PCR are its ease of use and low cost, even in comparison to other procedures. [58] With particular primers, several techniques sought to find a single species. *Trichophyton rubrum* in nails and *Microsporium canis* in human and animal skin could both be identified without additional testing thanks to the detection of an amplicon of a specific size in an agarose gel.[59-61] By finding 19.6 and 13.8% more dermatophyte-positive samples, respectively, PCR outperformed culture alone or the combination of conventional approaches. We have shown that the use of multiplex PCR in clinical settings produces quick results with high sensitivity and specificity, enhancing laboratory assistance in the clinical evaluation of tinea unguium and sparing the patient from having to wait to start an effective course of treatment.[62]

MANAGEMENT OF DERMATOPHYTOSIS:



The biggest difficulty dermatologists confront today is the management of dermatophytosis. There are various types of therapy like topical, and oral were used in the management process and It will be listed below.

NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL THERAPY:

- Patients shouldn't share their clothes, sheets, towels or bathroom tissue. Every day, hot water must be used to completely wash and dry all clothing, including socks and underwear.[63]
- Until appropriate treatment is received, avoid or limit close interaction with a child or spouse. During an active infection, sexual intimacy with a partner should be avoided.[64]
- Taking a bath twice a day is beneficial for patients who exercise, are in environments where people tend to sweat a lot or have primary hyperhidrosis.[65]
- Patients should be urged to wear light, loose-fitting clothing since fungus thrives best in warm, humid settings.[38]

PHARMACOTHERAPY:

Topical antifungals are the preferred method of treatment for dermatophytosis, and research has shown that they are more effective than placebo.[66,67] The azoles (such as econazole, ketoconazole, miconazole, clotrimazole, miconazole, oxiconazole, sulconazole, sertaconazole, and luliconazole), allylamines (such as naftifine, terbinafine), benzylamine (butenafine), ciclopirox, and tolnaftate are among the most frequently used anti-fungal.[68-81]

TOPICAL ANTI-FUNGALS:

The most effective localized infections of the glabrous skin are treated with topical antifungal medicines. They are less effective in treating infections of the scalp, nails, long-lasting, widespread trunk infections, and thick stratum corneum infections of the palms and soles. Additionally, topical antifungal medicines used to treat dermatophyte infections can be less effective in treating immunosuppressed patients. There is no doubting that topical antifungal drugs are considerably less likely than systemic antifungals to have adverse side effects. The most prevalent adverse effect associated with modern topical antifungals is irritant contact dermatitis, albeit it is far less common than it was with the topical organic acids and phenolic agents used in the 1940s. It has been discovered that all topical antifungals now available on the market cause it;

the incidence in preliminary clinical trials varied from 1% to 6%. True allergic contact dermatitis, which is distinguished by a delayed onset of redness, itching, and scaling, is rare, but isolated reports of it have been made for all formulations.[82-89]

SYSTEMIC AGENTS:

The development of systemic medications for the treatment of dermatophyte infections began in the 1950s with the discovery of griseofulvin.

GRISEOFULVIN:

Griseofulvin has been around for a while, and during the Vietnam War, it was even given to a large number of American soldiers as a preventative precaution against the frequent, frequently debilitating fungal infections that occurred in the tropics. Griseofulvin is the first medicine that is regularly used in dermatology to highlight the potential problems that can result from drug interactions.[82] Warfarin is metabolized by cytochrome P-450 enzymes, and the interaction between griseofulvin and this drug might cause serum levels of warfarin to drop, decreasing the effectiveness of anticoagulant therapy.[90] Patients using Griseofulvin micro size experienced a low rate of aberrant baseline and follow-up laboratory test results, and there were no clinically significant laboratory test outcomes. No patients had their usage of Griseofulvin micro size terminated or had additional laboratory tests run as a result of monitoring suspicious laboratory test results.[91] Western textbooks recommend a dosage of 1 g of griseofulvin per day for a period of four weeks. 750 mg or 1 g of griseofulvin should be administered daily in two separate doses for six weeks at a dose of 10-15 mg/kg body weight.[63]

KETOCONAZOLE AND AZOLES:

As the first systemic substitute for griseofulvin for the treatment of dermatophyte infections, ketoconazole was first made ingestible in the 1970s. Ketoconazole causes several undesirable skin symptoms, such as urticaria and morbilliform eruptions, that are similar to those caused by griseofulvin.[92] When ketoconazole was first launched, it quickly became clear that it was linked to hepatic issues and had a wide range of potential medication interactions. Hepatitis brought on by ketoconazole appears to be an unusual side effect.[93-95] It is even more crucial to alert patients to the early signs of hepatitis (vomiting, nausea, lethargy, and malaise) and encourage them

to stop taking the medication right away if they experience any of these side effects.[82] Itraconazole and ketoconazole must be absorbed in an acidic environment for absorption.[92]

The beginning of shortness of breath and anxiety, which are suggestive of anaphylaxis, is an intriguing but uncommon reaction seen with the initial dose of ketoconazole. These reactions were once assumed to be perhaps related to prior contact sensitization to topical azoles, however, the many immunological processes implicated rule out this possibility. The documented occurrences have been minor and have resolved either naturally or after taking antihistamines.[96,97]

FLUCONAZOLE:

Although the Food and Drug Administration has approved fluconazole, an oral triazole, for the treatment of dermatophytosis, reports indicate that it is effective and can even be taken in once-weekly dosages for nail infections. Different kinds of allergic skin responses can be brought on by fluconazole.[92] Although hepatitis has been linked to the use of fluconazole, it is far less likely than with the use of ketoconazole.[98,99] Headaches, upset stomach, skin rash, an increase in liver enzymes, and, less frequently, hepatotoxicity, a reaction similar to serum sickness, Steven-Johnson syndrome, an extension of the QT interval, and Torsades de pointes are side effects of fluconazole.[100] Fluconazole should be used 50-100 mg/day for two to four weeks. The administration of fluconazole 100 mg daily for at least 2-4 weeks after clinical clearance is advised rather than weekly regimens, which are strongly discouraged. Fluconazole is recommended to be used only in circumstances where other compounds cannot be provided, due to underlying comorbidities, obvious contraindications, and in lactating mothers.[63]

ITRACONAZOLE:

Itraconazole, another triazole antifungal drug, is authorised for use in the US to treat onychomycosis but not dermatophytosis. Itraconazole has also been linked to allergic reactions such as erythema multiforme, anaphylaxis, urticaria, pruritus, and acute generalised exanthemic pustulosis.[101] Itraconazole has been known to cause peripheral edoema when taken alone, and it frequently does so

when combined with calcium channel blockers.[102,103] In the majority of European nations, itraconazole is preferable to griseofulvin.[104] A consensus statement suggested using itraconazole at a dose of 100 mg twice daily for a minimum of 2-4 weeks in naive individuals and four weeks in resistant cases.[105] Itraconazole's dose is restricted to 100 mg twice daily by scientific reasoning. But in reality, 200 mg twice daily or higher prescription doses are common. Not only are such high doses of the medicine unnecessary, but they also pose a risk. It would be safe to assume that less than four weeks of itraconazole 100 mg twice a day results in a higher incidence of recurrences and relapses.[63]

TERBINAFINE:

Terbinafine, an oral allylamine, has been reported to be useful in treating a number of dermatophyte infections, however, it is only licensed for the treatment of dermatophytosis in the United States. Terbinafine allergies are possible; cases of erythema multiforme, toxic epidermal necrolysis, urticaria, morbilliform rash, fixed drug eruption, acute generalised exanthemic pustulosis, and cutaneous lupus erythematosus have been documented.[106-108] Clinical cure is achieved with terbinafine at a dose of 250 mg once daily for 4-6 weeks. Mycological cure was observed in 91.8% of patients in the itraconazole group after four weeks, as opposed to 74.3% of patients in the terbinafine group, in a randomized research comparing oral itraconazole to oral terbinafine. Itraconazole and terbinafine were found to be both efficient and secure by the authors.[109] Terbinafine has a very limited role in the current epidemic-like scenario of superficial dermatophytosis, according to the study's findings it is effective in treating tinea corporis, tinea cruris, and tinea faciei by 2% at two weeks and 30.6% at four weeks.[110] Since a single dose of 500 mg contradicts the fundamentals of the drug's pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, a divided dose of 250 mg twice daily is preferred to that of 500 mg once daily.[111]

II. CONCLUSION:

Dermatophytosis and superficial infection might not be serious infections but they should be taken with the utmost care to prevent any serious complications. In this article pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment options are emphasized which can be applied clinically by

physicians and other healthcare professionals, to manage the infection. The pharmacological treatment for dermatophytosis mostly covers antifungal agents such as Griseofulvin, ketoconazole, itraconazole, fluconazole, and terbinafine. A proper diagnosis and treatment could greatly improve the patient's condition.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Leung AK, Lam JM, Leong KF, Hon KL. Tinea corporis: an updated review. *Drugs in context*. 2020;9.
- [2]. Hsu S, Le EH, Khoshevis MR. Differential diagnosis of annular lesions. *American Family Physician*. 2001 Jul 15;64(2):289-97.
- [3]. Alter SJ, McDonald MB, Schloemer J, Simon R, Trevino J. Common child and adolescent cutaneous infestations and fungal infections. *Current problems in pediatric and adolescent health care*. 2018 Jan 1;48(1):3-25.
- [4]. Leung AK, Hon KL, Leong KF, Barankin B, Lam JM. Tinea capitis: an updated review. *Recent patents on inflammation & allergy drug discovery*. 2020 May 1;14(1):58-68.
- [5]. Poluri LV, Indugula JP, Kondapaneni SL. Clinicomycological study of dermatophytosis in South India. *Journal of laboratory physicians*. 2015 Jul;7(02):084-9.
- [6]. Al Aboud AM, Crane JS. Tinea capitis.
- [7]. Elghblawi E. Idiosyncratic findings in trichoscopy of tinea capitis: comma, zigzag hairs, corkscrew, and Morse code-like hair. *International Journal of Trichology*. 2016 Oct;8(4):180.
- [8]. Fuller LC, Barton RC, Mohd Mustapa MF, Proudfoot LE, Punjabi SP, Higgins EM, Hughes JR, Sahota A, Griffiths M, McDonagh AJ, Punjabi S. British Association of Dermatologists' guidelines for the management of tinea capitis 2014. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2014 Sep 1;171(3):454-63.
- [9]. John AM, Schwartz RA, Janniger CK. The kerion: an angry tinea capitis. *International journal of dermatology*. 2018 Jan;57(1):3-9.
- [10]. Bennisar A, Grimalt R. Management of tinea capitis in childhood. *Clinical, cosmetic and investigational dermatology*. 2010 Jul 14:89-98.
- [11]. Farooqi M, Tabassum S, Rizvi DA, Rahman A, Awan S, Mahar SA. Clinical types of tinea capitis and species identification in children: an experience from tertiary care centres of Karachi, Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2014 Mar 1;64(3):304-8.
- [12]. Koch E, English III JC. Diffuse alopecia in an adolescent female: tinea capitis. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*. 2014 Feb 1;27(1):45-7.
- [13]. Koch E, English III JC. Diffuse alopecia in an adolescent female: tinea capitis. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*. 2014 Feb 1;27(1):45-7.
- [14]. Schechtman RC, Silva ND, Quaresma MV, Bernardes Filho F, Buçard AM, Sodré CT. Dermatoscopic findings as a complementary tool in the differential diagnosis of the etiological agent of tinea capitis. *Anais brasileiros de dermatologia*. 2015 May;90:13-5.
- [15]. Zampella JG, Kwatra SG, Blanck J, Cohen B. Tinea in tots: Cases and literature review of oral antifungal treatment of tinea capitis in children under 2 years of age. *J Pediatr* 2017; 183: 12-18.e3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.12.042> PMID: 28088394
- [16]. Aditya K G, Jennifer E R, Melody C, Elizabeth A C. Dermatophytosis: the management of fungal infections. *SKINmed: Dermatology for the Clinician*. 2005 Sep;4(5):305-10.
- [17]. Williams DI, Marten RH, Sarkany I. Oral treatment of ringworm with griseofulvin. *Lancet*. 1958:1212-3.
- [18]. Al Janabi AA, Al Khikani FH. Dermatophytoses: A short definition, pathogenesis, and treatment. *International Journal of Health & Allied Sciences*. 2020 Jul 1;9(3):210-4.
- [19]. Martinez-Rossi NM, Persinoti GF, Peres NT, Rossi A. Role of pH in the pathogenesis of dermatophytoses. *Mycoses*. 2012 Sep;55(5):381-7.
- [20]. Tainwala R, Sharma YK. Pathogenesis of dermatophytoses. *Indian journal of dermatology*. 2011 May;56(3):259.
- [21]. Spickler AR, Larson L, Salmonellosis K. Available online: <http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/factsheets.php> (accessed on 3 August 2022). 2013.

- [22]. Al-Janabi AA. Dermatophytosis: Causes, clinical features, signs and treatment. *J Symptoms Signs*. 2014 Dec 7;3(3):200-3.
- [23]. Jaulim Z, Salmon N, Fuller C. Fungal skin infections: Current approaches to management. *Prescriber*. 2015 Oct 5;26(19):31-5.
- [24]. Ilkit M, Durdu M. Tinea pedis: the etiology and global epidemiology of a common fungal infection. *Critical reviews in microbiology*. 2015 Jul 3;41(3):374-88.
- [25]. Surendran KA, Bhat RM, Bolor R, Nandakishore B, Sukumar D. A clinical and mycological study of dermatophytic infections. *Indian journal of dermatology*. 2014 May;59(3):262.
- [26]. Shy R. Tinea corporis and tinea capitis. *Pediatrics in Review*. 2007 May 1;28(5):164-74.
- [27]. Treat JR. Tinea capitis. *UpToDate Waltham, MA*. (Accessed on November 11, 2019)
- [28]. Hay RJ. Tinea capitis: current status. *Mycopathologia*. 2017 Feb;182(1-2):87-93.
- [29]. Mikaeili A, Kavoussi H, Hashemian AH, Gheshtemi MS, Kavoussi R. Clinico-mycological profile of tinea capitis and its comparative response to griseofulvin versus terbinafine. *Current medical mycology*. 2019 Mar;5(1):15.
- [30]. Gordon AK, McIver C, Kim M, Murrell DF, Taylor P. Clinical application of a molecular assay for the detection of dermatophytosis and a novel non-invasive sampling technique. *Pathology*. 2016 Dec 1;48(7):720-6.
- [31]. Rudramurthy SM, Shaw D. Overview and update on the laboratory diagnosis of dermatophytosis. *Clinical Dermatology Review*. 2017 Oct 1;1(Suppl 1):S3-11.
- [32]. Weitzman I, Summerbell RC. The dermatophytes. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 1995 Apr;8(2):240-59.
- [33]. Bergmans AM, Van der Ent M, Klaassen A, Böhm N, Andriessse GI, Wintermans RG. Evaluation of a single-tube real-time PCR for detection and identification of 11 dermatophyte species in clinical material. *Clinical microbiology and infection*. 2010 Jun 1;16(6):704-10.
- [34]. Brillowska-Dabrowska A, Nielsen SS, Nielsen HV, Arendrup MC. Optimized 5-hour multiplex PCR test for the detection of tinea unguium: performance in a routine PCR laboratory. *Sabouraudia*. 2010 Sep 1;48(6):828-31.
- [35]. Wisselink GJ, Van Zanten E, Kooistra-Smid AM. Trapped in keratin; a comparison of dermatophyte detection in nail, skin and hair samples directly from clinical samples using culture and real-time PCR. *Journal of microbiological methods*. 2011 Apr 1;85(1):62-6.
- [36]. Tartor YH, Abo Hashem ME, Enany S. Towards a rapid identification and a novel proteomic analysis for dermatophytes from human and animal dermatophytosis. *Mycoses*. 2019 Dec;62(12):1116-26.
- [37]. Andrews MD, Burns M. Common tinea infections in children. *American family physician*. 2008 May 15;77(10):1415-20.
- [38]. Weinstein A, Berman B. Topical treatment of common superficial tinea infections. *American family physician*. 2002 May 15;65(10):2095-103.
- [39]. Garg J, Tilak R, Garg A, Prakash P, Gulati AK, Nath G. Rapid detection of dermatophytes from skin and hair. *BMC research notes*. 2009 Dec;2:1-6.
- [40]. Uchida T, Makimura K, Ishihara K, Goto H, Tajiri Y, Okuma M, Fujisaki R, Uchida K, Abe S, Iijima M. Comparative study of direct polymerase chain reaction, microscopic examination and culture-based morphological methods for detection and identification of dermatophytes in nail and skin samples. *The Journal of Dermatology*. 2009 Apr;36(4):202-8.
- [41]. Ebihara M, Makimura K, Sato K, Abe S, Tsuboi R. Molecular detection of dermatophytes and nondermatophytes in onychomycosis by nested polymerase chain reaction based on 28S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2009 Nov 1;161(5):1038-44.
- [42]. Sato T, Takayanagi A, Nagao K, Tomatsu N, Fukui T, Kawaguchi M, Kudoh J, Amagai M, Yamamoto N, Shimizu N. Simple PCR-based DNA microarray system to identify human pathogenic fungi in skin. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2010 Jul;48(7):2357-64.
- [43]. Litz CE, Cavagnolo RZ. Polymerase chain reaction in the diagnosis of onychomycosis: a large, single-institute

- study. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2010 Sep 1;163(3):511-4.
- [44]. Kardjeva V, Summerbell R, Kantardjiev T, Devliotou-Panagiotidou D, Sotiriou E, Graser Y. Forty-eight-hour diagnosis of onychomycosis with subtyping of *Trichophyton rubrum* strains. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2006 Apr;44(4):1419-27.
- [45]. Gupta AK, Zaman M, Singh J. Fast and sensitive detection of *Trichophyton rubrum* DNA from the nail samples of patients with onychomycosis by a double-round polymerase chain reaction-based assay. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2007 Oct 1;157(4):698-703.
- [46]. Uchida T, Makimura K, Ishihara K, Goto H, Tajiri Y, Okuma M, Fujisaki R, Uchida K, Abe S, Iijima M. Comparative study of direct polymerase chain reaction, microscopic examination and culture-based morphological methods for detection and identification of dermatophytes in nail and skin samples. *The Journal of Dermatology*. 2009 Apr;36(4):202-8.
- [47]. Bergmans AM, Van der Ent M, Klaassen A, Böhm N, Andriessse GI, Wintermans RG. Evaluation of a single-tube real-time PCR for detection and identification of 11 dermatophyte species in clinical material. *Clinical microbiology and infection*. 2010 Jun 1;16(6):704-10.
- [48]. Sato T, Takayanagi A, Nagao K, Tomatsu N, Fukui T, Kawaguchi M, Kudoh J, Amagai M, Yamamoto N, Shimizu N. Simple PCR-based DNA microarray system to identify human pathogenic fungi in skin. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2010 Jul;48(7):2357-64.
- [49]. Wisselink GJ, Van Zanten E, Kooistra-Smid AM. Trapped in keratin; a comparison of dermatophyte detection in nail, skin and hair samples directly from clinical samples using culture and real-time PCR. *Journal of microbiological methods*. 2011 Apr 1;85(1):62-6.
- [50]. Beifuss B, Bezold G, Gottlöber P, Borelli C, Wagener J, Schaller M, Korting HC. Direct detection of five common dermatophyte species in clinical samples using a rapid and sensitive 24-h PCR-ELISA technique open to protocol transfer. *Mycoses*. 2011 Mar;54(2):137-45.
- [51]. Paugam A, L'ollivier C, Vigié C, Anaya L, Mary C, De Ponfilly G, Ranque S. Comparison of real-time PCR with conventional methods to detect dermatophytes in samples from patients with suspected dermatophytosis. *Journal of microbiological methods*. 2013 Nov 1;95(2):218-22.
- [52]. Elavarashi E, Kindo AJ, Kalyani J. Optimization of PCR-RFLP directly from the skin and nails in cases of dermatophytosis, targeting the ITS and the 18S ribosomal DNA regions. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2013 Apr;7(4):646.
- [53]. Dhib I, Fathallah A, Yaacoub A, Hadj Slama F, Said MB, Zemni R. Multiplex PCR assay for the detection of common dermatophyte nail infections. *Mycoses*. 2014 Jan;57(1):19-26.
- [54]. Bontems O, Hauser PM, Monod M. Evaluation of a polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism assay for dermatophyte and nondermatophyte identification in onychomycosis. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2009 Oct 1;161(4):791-6.
- [55]. Verrier J, Pronina M, Peter C, Bontems O, Fratti M, Salamin K, Schürch S, Gindro K, Wolfender JL, Harshman K, Monod M. Identification of infectious agents in onychomycoses by PCR-terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2012 Mar;50(3):553-61.
- [56]. Monod M, Bontems O, Zaugg C, Léchenne B, Fratti M, Panizzon R. Fast and reliable PCR/sequencing/RFLP assay for identification of fungi in onychomycoses. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 2006 Sep;55(9):1211-6.
- [57]. Verrier J, Monod M. Diagnosis of dermatophytosis using molecular biology. *Mycopathologia*. 2017 Feb;182:193-202.
- [58]. Gupta AK, Zaman M, Singh J. Fast and sensitive detection of *Trichophyton rubrum* DNA from the nail samples of patients with onychomycosis by a double-round polymerase chain reaction-based assay. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2007 Oct 1;157(4):698-703.

- [59]. Brillowska-Dabrowska A, Nielsen SS, Nielsen HV, Arendrup MC. Optimized 5-hour multiplex PCR test for the detection of tinea unguium: performance in a routine PCR laboratory. *Sabouraudia*. 2010 Sep 1;48(6):828-31.
- [60]. Brillowska-Dabrowska A, Michalek E, Saunte DM, Søgaard Nielsen S, Arendrup MC. PCR test for *Microsporum canis* identification. *Medical Mycology*. 2013 Aug 1;51(6):576-9.
- [61]. Brasch J, Beck-Jendroschek V, Gläser R. Fast and sensitive detection of *Trichophyton rubrum* in superficial tinea and onychomycosis by use of a direct polymerase chain reaction assay. *Mycoses*. 2011 Sep;54(5):e313-7.
- [62]. Spiliopoulou A, Bartzavali C, Jelastopulu E, Anastassiou ED, Christofidou M. Evaluation of a commercial PCR test for the diagnosis of dermatophyte nail infections. *Journal of medical microbiology*. 2015 Jan;64(1):25-31.
- [63]. Verma SB, Panda S, Nenoff P, Singal A, Rudramurthy SM, Uhrlass S, Das A, Bisherwal K, Shaw D, Vasani R. The unprecedented epidemic-like scenario of dermatophytosis in India: III. Antifungal resistance and treatment options. *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology*. 2021 Jun 30;87(4):468-82.
- [64]. Kupsch C, Czaika VA, Deutsch C, Gräser Y. *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*—a new genotype of zoophilic dermatophyte causes sexually transmitted infections. *JDDG: Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft*. 2019 May;17(5):493-501.
- [65]. Sahoo AK, Mahajan R. Management of tinea corporis, tinea cruris, and tinea pedis: A comprehensive review. *Indian dermatology online journal*. 2016 Mar;7(2):77.
- [66]. Rajagopalan M, Inamadar A, Mittal A, Miskeen AK, Srinivas CR, Sardana K, Godse K, Patel K, Rengasamy M, Rudramurthy S, Dogra S. Expert consensus on the management of dermatophytosis in India (ECTODERM India). *BMC dermatology*. 2018 Dec;18(1):1-1.
- [67]. Rotta I, Otuki MF, Sanches AC, Correr CJ. Efficacy of topical antifungal drugs in different dermatomycoses: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira (English Edition)*. 2012 May 1;58(3):308-18.
- [68]. Gupta AK, Venkataraman M, Quinlan EM. New antifungal agents and new formulations against dermatophytes. *Dermatophytes and Dermatophytoses*. 2021 Jun 9:433-71.
- [69]. Goldstein AO, Goldstein BG. *Dermatophyte (tinea) infections*. Waltham, MA: UpToDate. 2017 May.
- [70]. Bari MA. Comparison of superficial mycosis treatment using Butenafine and Bifonazole nitrate clinical efficacy. *Global Journal of Health Science*. 2013 Jan;5(1):150.
- [71]. Banerjee M, Ghosh AK, Basak S, Das KD, Gangopadhyay DN. Comparative evaluation of effectivity and safety of topical amorolfine and clotrimazole in the treatment of tinea corporis. *Indian journal of dermatology*. 2011 Nov;56(6):657.
- [72]. Chatterjee D, Ghosh SK, Sen S, Sarkar S, Hazra A, De R. Efficacy and tolerability of topical sertaconazole versus topical terbinafine in localized dermatophytosis: A randomized, observer-blind, parallel group study. *Indian journal of pharmacology*. 2016 Nov;48(6):659.
- [73]. Choudhary SV, Aghi T, Bisati S. Efficacy and safety of terbinafine hydrochloride 1% cream vs eberconazole nitrate 1% cream in localised tinea corporis and tinea cruris. *Indian Dermatology Online Journal*. 2014 Apr;5(2):128.
- [74]. Czaika VA. Effective treatment of tinea corporis due to *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* with combined isoconazole nitrate and diflucortolone valerate therapy. *Mycoses*. 2013 May;56:30-2.
- [75]. Gold M, Dhawan S, Verma A, Kuligowski M, Dobrowski D. Efficacy and Safety of Naftifine HCl Cream 2% in the Treatment of Pediatric Subjects With Tinea Corporis. *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology: JDD*. 2016 Jun 1;15(6):743-8.
- [76]. Gupta AK, Daigle D. A critical appraisal of once-daily topical luliconazole for the treatment of superficial fungal infections. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2016 Jan 18:1-6.
- [77]. Jerajani HR, Janaki C, Kumar S, Phishe M. Comparative assessment of the

- efficacy and safety of sertaconazole (2%) cream versus terbinafine cream (1%) versus luliconazole (1%) cream in patients with dermatophytoses: A pilot study. *Indian journal of dermatology*. 2013 Jan;58(1):34.
- [78]. Khanna D, Bharti S. Luliconazole for the treatment of fungal infections: an evidence-based review. *Core evidence*. 2014 Sep 9;113-24.
- [79]. Saunders J, Maki K, Koski R, Nybo SE. Tavaborole, efinaconazole, and luliconazole: three new antimycotic agents for the treatment of dermatophytic fungi. *Journal of pharmacy practice*. 2017 Dec;30(6):621-30.
- [80]. Shivamurthy RP, Reddy SG, Kallappa R, Somashekar SA, Patil D, Patil UN. Comparison of topical anti-fungal agents sertaconazole and clotrimazole in the treatment of tinea corporis-an observational study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: JCDR*. 2014 Sep;8(9):HC09.
- [81]. Sulaiman A, Wan X, Fan J, Kasimu H, Dong X, Wang X, Zhang L, Abliz P, Upur H. Analysis on curative effects and safety of 2% liranafate ointment in treating tinea pedis and tinea corporis & cruris. *Pakistan journal of pharmaceutical sciences*. 2017 May 2;30.
- [82]. Smith EB. The treatment of dermatophytosis: safety considerations. *Journal of the american academy of dermatology*. 2000 Nov 1;43(5):S113-9.
- [83]. Doooms-Goossens A, Matura M, Drieghe J, Degreef H. Contact allergy to imidazoles used as antimycotic agents. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1995 Aug;33(2):73-7.
- [84]. Goitre M, Bedello PG, Cane D, Pulatti P, Forte M, Cervetti O. Contact dermatitis due to cyclopyroxolamine. *Contact dermatitis*. 1986;15:94-5.
- [85]. Senff H, Tholen S, Stieler W, Reinel D, Hausen BM. Allergic Contact Dermatitis to Naftifine: Report of Two Cases. *Dermatology*. 1989 Oct 9;178(2):107-8.
- [86]. Bakos L, Brito AC, Castro LC, Gontijo B, Lowy G, REIS CM, RIBEIRO AM, SOUZA FH, VILLAR MD, Zaitz C. Open clinical study of the efficacy and safety of terbinafine cream 1% in children with tinea corporis and tinea cruris. *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*. 1997 Jun 1;16(6):545-8.
- [87]. Lodi A, Chiarelli G, Livio Mancini L, Crosti C. Contact allergy to sodium sulfite contained in an antifungal preparation. *Contact dermatitis*. 1993 Aug;29(2):97-.
- [88]. Eun HC, Kim YC. Propylene glycol allergy from ketoconazole cream. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1989 Oct 1;21(4):274-5.
- [89]. Verecken P, Birringer C, Knitelius AC, Herbaut D, Germaux MA. Sensitization to benzyl alcohol: a possible cause of "corticosteroid allergy". *Contact dermatitis*. 1998 Feb 1;38(2):106-.
- [90]. Blank H. The actions and interactions of drugs: the therapeutic significance of enzyme induction. *Trans, a. Rep. St John's Hosp., derm. Soc. Lond.*. 1967;53(1):1-23.
- [91]. Stolmeier DA, Stratman HB, McIntee TJ, Stratman EJ. Utility of laboratory test result monitoring in patients taking oral terbinafine or griseofulvin for dermatophyte infections. *JAMA dermatology*. 2018 Dec 1;154(12):1409-16.
- [92]. Rand S. Overview: The treatment of dermatophytosis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2000 Nov 1;43(5):S104-12.
- [93]. Lewis JH, Zimmerman HJ, Benson GD, Ishak KG. Hepatic injury associated with ketoconazole therapy: analysis of 33 cases. *Gastroenterology*. 1984 Mar 1;86(3):503-13.
- [94]. Stricker BC, Blok AP, Bronkhorst FB, Van Parys GE, Desmet VJ. Ketoconazole-associated hepatic injury: a clinicopathological study of 55 cases. *Journal of hepatology*. 1986 Jan 1;3(3):399-406.
- [95]. Lake-Bakaar G, Scheuer PJ, Sherlock S. Hepatic reactions associated with ketoconazole in the United Kingdom. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1987 Feb 14;294(6569):419-22.
- [96]. Van Dijke CP, Veerman FR, Haverkamp HC. Anaphylactic reactions to ketoconazole. *British Medical Journal (Clinical research ed.)*. 1983 Dec 12;287(6406):1673.
- [97]. Gietzen K, Penka L, Eisenburger R. Imidazole fungicides provoke histamine release from mast cells and induce airway

- contraction. *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology*. 1996 Nov 1;48(6):529-31.
- [98]. Trujillo MA, Galgiani JN, Sampliner RE. Evaluation of hepatic injury arising during fluconazole therapy. *Archives of internal medicine*. 1994 Jan 10;154(1):102-4.
- [99]. Gearhart MO. Worsening of liver function with fluconazole and review of azole antifungal hepatotoxicity. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 1994 Oct;28(10):1177-81
- [100]. Treat JR. *Tinea capitis*. UpToDate Waltham, MA. (Accessed on November 11, 2019)..
- [101]. Heymann WR, Manders SM. Itraconazole-induced acute generalized exanthemic pustulosis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 1995;1(33):130-1.
- [102]. Rosen T. Debilitating edema associated with itraconazole therapy. *Archives of dermatology*. 1994 Feb 1;130(2):260-1.
- [103]. Tailor SA, Gupta AK, Walker SE, Shear NH. Peripheral edema due to nifedipine-itraconazole interaction: a case report. *Archives of dermatology*. 1996 Mar 1;132(3):350-2.
- [104]. Feih J, Ledebner NA, Peppard WJ. Photo Quiz: A 5-Year-Old Male with Multiple Pustules Covering the Entire Scalp. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2014 Apr;52(4):1027.
- [105]. Rajagopalan M, Inamadar A, Mittal A, Miskeen AK, Srinivas CR, Sardana K, Godse K, Patel K, Rengasamy M, Rudramurthy S, Dogra S. Expert consensus on the management of dermatophytosis in India (ECTODERM India). *BMC dermatology*. 2018 Dec;18(1):1-1.
- [106]. Papa CA, Miller OF. Pustular psoriasiform eruption with leukocytosis associated with terbinafine. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 1998 Jul 1;39(1):115-7.
- [107]. Wilson NJ, Evans S. Severe pustular psoriasis provoked by oral terbinafine. *The British journal of dermatology*. 1998 Jul;139(1):168.
- [108]. Munn SE, Jones RR. Terbinafine and fixed drug eruption. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 1995 Nov 1;133(5):815-21.
- [109]. Bhatia A, Kanish B, Badyal DK, Kate P, Choudhary S. Efficacy of oral terbinafine versus itraconazole in treatment of dermatophytic infection of skin—a prospective, randomized comparative study. *Indian journal of pharmacology*. 2019 Mar;51(2):116.
- [110]. Singh S, Shukla P. End of the road for terbinafine? Results of a pragmatic prospective cohort study of 500 patients. *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology*. 2018 Sep 1;84:554.
- [111]. Sardana K, Gupta A. Rational for drug dosimetry and duration of terbinafine in the context of recalcitrant dermatophytosis: Is 500 mg better than 250 mg OD or BD?. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*. 2017 Nov;62(6):665.